



**SOUTH DAKOTA STATE HISTORICAL SOCIETY
STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE (SHPO)
SOUTH DAKOTA CODIFIED LAW 1-19A-11.1 CASE REPORT**

If a state entity or political subdivision of the state is required by law or rule to report possible threats to the historical integrity of a property listed in the National Register of Historic Places or the State Register of Historic Places, the threat must be reported by means of a case report.

Case reports must provide the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) with sufficient information for the office to make an independent review of effects on the historical integrity of historic properties and shall be the basis for informed comments to state entities and the public. Case reports shall thoroughly examine all relevant factors involved in a preservation question. Abbreviated case reports may be requested at the discretion of the SHPO if less than a comprehensive view is needed. (ARSD 24:52:07:03 - Standards for Case Report)

SHPO reserves the right to request more information if needed. Typed forms are preferred. Submittal of this form without all requested information will cause review delays.

☒ This is a new submittal.

☐ This is information relating to SHPO project number:

PROJECT LOCATION

Address

City

Brookings

County

Brookings

The responsible state entity or political subdivision of the state (cities, counties, etc.) must sign and date this form here prior to submitting it to the SHPO. Projects received without an original signature will cause review delays.

Signature: Bailey Maca

Date: 4/21/26

Name

Bailey Maca

Title

Associate Planner

Agency

City of Brookings

FOR SHPO USE ONLY. DO NOT WRITE OR INSERT ANYTHING HERE.

STANDARDS FOR CASE REPORT AS OUTLINED IN ARSD 24:52:07:03

1 & 2. Project Description. Describe the project. Include photographs and maps showing the existing project site and details of the proposed project. Where applicable, drawings, three-dimensional models, or accurate computer-generated representations of proposed construction may be included. The models or representations must clearly show the visual impacts of new construction on the surrounding neighborhood or landscape. Photographs, maps, drawings, and other supplemental materials should be submitted with this form as separate documents.

The project would include demolition of existing shed. The shed has been in disrepair prior to my ownership. The shed is tipping, has holes, is a hazard, and looks bad for the community.

+

3. What is the planning and approval schedule for this project?

Upon approval the shed would be removed the construction season of 2026.

7. Provide a description of the feasible and prudent alternatives that were considered and rejected based on factors relevant to the project. Relevant factors should be supported by facts. Include the reason(s) for rejection of feasible and prudent alternatives. Describe other efforts undertaken to minimize harm to the historic property. Provide as much detail as possible when explaining consideration of alternatives and mitigation measures. Questions to be considered when reviewing the project include:

- (a) How were decisions based on the consideration of factual reports, research, tried methods, and/or professional and lay preservation advice?
- (b) How were alternatives beyond the immediate project explored, taking into account broad community or regional issues in which the historic resource may play a contributing role?
- (c) How was the impact of potentially adverse effects on surrounding historic resources, community preservation plans, and long-range community opportunities taken into account, if applicable?
- (d) Were decisions based on professional assessment(s) of the value and basic structural condition of the affected property and estimates of a range of rehabilitation or mitigative options prepared by people experienced in historic preservation work?
- (e) Were adequate periods of time provided for information to be prepared and for preservation options to be attempted?

Due to its dilapidated state, there were no considerations of reparations. The conclusion was not only reached by myself but the Brookings Chief Building Official and City planner. Although its impossible to speak on behalf of everybody in the close proximity of this property, I would anticipate the vast majority would be in agreement that the removal of the building would improve the immediate surrounding community.

**Please print this entire form, sign and date the first page,
and mail completed form with any additional documentation to:**

Review and Compliance Coordinator
South Dakota State Historical Society
900 Governors Drive
Pierre, SD 57501

Questions about South Dakota Codified Law 1-19A-11.1 can be directed to:

Review and Compliance Coordinator
(605) 773-8370

Restoration Specialist
(605) 773-6005

Project information submitted to SHPO cannot be returned. This documentation is kept on file at the South Dakota State Historical Society. We review faxed and electronic submissions in the same manner as any other submission and with the same considerations for clarity and completeness. However, original documents with original signature must follow all faxed and electronic submissions. The submission of incomplete, unclear, or confusing information may result in unnecessary delays in the review process until adequate information is obtained.

Additional Resources:

South Dakota State Historic Preservation Office: <http://history.sd.gov/Preservation/>
Link to National and State Register Listed Properties: <http://history.sd.gov/Preservation/NatReg/NatReg.aspx>
Historic Contexts: <http://history.sd.gov/Preservation/OtherServices/SHPODocs.aspx>

National Park Service: <http://www.nps.gov/nr/>
Publications (National Register Bulletins, Preservation Briefs, etc.): <http://www.nps.gov/history/publications.htm>